Total Synthesis of d,l-Methynolide. Medium-Ring Sulfides by Ylide Ring Expansion

E. Vedejs,* R. A. Buchanan, P. C. Conrad, G. P. Meier, M. J. Mullins, J. G. Schaffhausen, and C. E. Schwartz

Contribution from the Chemistry Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706. Received January 23, 1989

Abstract: The sulfur-based ring expansion methodology required for the total synthesis of the title compound is described in detail. The successful route employs the ring expansion of sulfonium ylide 10 to an eight-membered sulfide 11, followed by conversion to alcohol 40 and reduction of the double bond to form the saturated analogue 53. Two routes to the precursors of 10 were developed. The first depends on a stereoselective sulfenic acetate cyclization from 3 to 5. An alternative sequence involves an internal sulfide alkylation from 30 to generate the same ylide 10 and the eventual ring expansion product 11. A second sigmatropic rearrangement from the eight-membered ylides 57 or 58 affords the 11-membered sulfide 60 with four of the six asymmetric centers of methynolide.

Some time ago, an effort was initiated in our laboratory to develop a synthetic approach to methynolide, the aglycon of the macrolide antibiotic methymycin, based on sulfur-mediated ring expansion technology.1 Two related retrosynthetic analyses (routes A and B) were considered as shown in Scheme I. Both approaches rely upon sequential 2,3-sigmatropic rearrangements of stabilized sulfonium ylides³ to build a series of cyclic sulfides containing five-, eight- (B-4), and 11-membered (B-3 or A-4) rings. After oxidative activation α to sulfur, hydroxyalkyl thiolactones A-3 or B-2 would be converted into the corresponding lactone mercaptans A-2 or B-1 by intramolecular acyl transfer, thereby avoiding the problem of macrolactonization. Our investigations of both approaches up to the stage of 11-membered cyclic sulfides are described below. Final steps leading to methynolide according to the route A strategy are considered in the following paper.

There are several unusual features in the sulfur-mediated synthetic plan. First, and most important, this is an approach that depends upon relative stereocontrol. Each of the stereocenters from C₃ to C_{1t} (methynolide numbering) is defined by taking advantage of the predictable consequences of 2,3-sigmatropic shift⁴ or of conformational properties inherent in the medium-ring intermediates.⁵ Sulfur plays an important role in the process by providing a relay point for conveying stereochemical information. In contrast to most approaches to methynolide or other complex macrocycles,6,7 stereocontrol does not depend on the coupling of optically pure subunits. To emphasize this point, the selected target is the hitherto unknown d,l-methynolide.

After sulfur has served its role in defining ring size and stereochemistry, it must be removed without disturbing adjacent asymmetric centers. The crucial step in this process, the acyl

transfer from A-3 to A-2 or from B-2 to B-1, produces a sulfhydryl group that must be transformed into oxygen functionality. We were unable to reach this stage according to the route B strategy, but the alternative approach of route A was eventually successful.

I. Synthesis of Thiacyclooctenes

Our first objectives were to demonstrate repeatable sulfur ylide ring expansions, to establish the geometric preferences of the sigmatropic rearrangements, and to develop viable routes to cyclic sulfonium ylides. These topics have been described in depth. t-3 On the basis of the model studies, the prediction was made that a cyclic sulfonium ylide 10 derived from the cyclic sulfide 6 (Scheme II) would rearrange to a (Z)-thiacyclooctene 11. A preliminary account of this work described stereocontrolled access to the necessary five-membered sulfide starting material 6 via sulfenyl acetate cyclization.10

The key precursor sulfoxide 3 was prepared by a conventional route starting from the 1,4-adduct of methacrolein and tert-butylmercaptan via the enal 1 and the Horner-Emmons product 2. Highly stereoselective cyclization to a single thiolane ester 5a was observed when the sulfoxide 3 was treated with acetic anhydride under the conditions of sulfenyl acetate generation.^{8,9} Although we have no independent proof for the assigned stereochemistry of 5a, a comparison of the two possible episulfonium intermediates 4 and 4' (corresponding to internal electrophilic attack at either olefin face) reveals that the latter is destabilized by a severe 1,3-dimethyl interaction. Assuming net trans addition and kinetic control, 5 is the expected product. The assigned C₂-C₃ stereochemistry was eventually confirmed by X-ray structure determination of an advanced intermediate.

After adjusting the hydroxyl-protecting group, 5a was converted into 6a by the Et₃BHLi reduction of the corresponding allylic mesylate. This proved to be a challenging transformation due to the solvolytic sensitivity of the mesylate, but good yields could be obtained consistently. On the other hand, the critical ring expansion from 6a to 11a worked poorly under conditions that had been optimized for simple analogues. f-3 Alkylation of 6a with the triflate derived from ethyl glycolate gave the salt 7a, but treatment with K₂CO₃ in acetonitrile afforded only 36% of an eight-membered ring expansion product. Structure 11a was supported by the NMR data, and the presence of a \dot{Z} double bond was clear from NOE experiments. Since the stereochemistry of 6a was defined by the method of synthesis, ylide rearrangement to a (Z)-alkene requires a tub-like transition state resembling the cisoid propenyl rotamer 10a. This results in the correct C₆ stereochemistry as illustrated in the eight-membered sulfide 11a.

^{(1) (}a) Vedejs, E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 358. (b) For the preliminary account of the methynolide synthesis, see: Vedejs, E.; Buchanan, R. A.; Conrad, P. C.; Meier, G. P.; Mullins, M. J.; Watanabe, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5878.

^{(2) (}a) Vedejs, E.; Powell, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2046. (b) Vedejs, E.; Buchanan, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1840.
(3) (a) Vedejs, E.; Hagen, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6878. (b)

Vedejs, E.; Hagen, J. P.; Roach, B. L.; Spear, K. L. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43,

^{(4) (}a) Baldwin, J. E.; Hackler, R. E.; Kelly, D. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1972, 1311. (b) Evans, D. A.; Andrews, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res.

Commun. 1912, 1511. (b) Evans, D. A.; Andrews, G. C. Acc. Chem. Rev. 1974, 7, 147. (c) Grieco, P. A.; Finkelhor, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2245. Grieco, P. A.; Boxler, D.; Hiroi, K. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2572. (5) (a) Vedejs, E.; Gapinski, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5058. (b) Vedejs, E.; Dophin, J. M.; Gapinski, D. M.; Mastalerz, H. Current Trends in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon Press: New York, NY, 1983; p 221. (c) Vedejs, E.; Dent, W. H. III; Gapinski, D. M.; McClure, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5437.

⁽⁶⁾ Paterson, I.; Mansuri, M. M. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3569. (7) (a) Masamune, S.; Kim, C. V.; Wilson, K. E.; Spessard, G. O.; Georghiou, P. E.; Bates, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3512. (b) Inanaga, J.; Katsuki, T.; Takimoto, S.; Ouchida, S.; Inoue, K.; Nakano, A.; Okukado, N.; Yamaguchi, M. Chem. Lett. 1979, 1021. (c) Oikawa, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3647.

⁽⁸⁾ Morin, R. B.; Jackson, B. G.; Muller, R. A.; Lavagino, E. R.; Scanlon,
W. B.; Andrews, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 1401.
(9) Chauvette, R. R.; Pennington, P. A.; Ryan, C. W.; Cooper, R. D. G.;

Jose, F. L.; Wright, I. G.; Van Heyningen, E. M.; Huffman, G. W. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1259.

Scheme I

Scheme II

The choice of 11 over the C_7 epimer 12 is less important, but the assignment is secure since 10 should be the less hindered ylide rotamer.

Our initial study of the S-alkylation was based on the assumption that 6 would be sensitive to dealkylation by nucleophiles and that alkylation agents having a non-nucleophilic counterion

such as triflate would be essential. However, observations made in connection with an alternative route to 6 (next section) caused us to re-examine the alkylation step. After extensive optimization of conditions, it was found that heating 6 with ethyl bromoacetate/NaI in trifluoroethanol/2,6-lutidine is a superior technique for ring expansion and improves the yield of 11 to 59%. The reasons for this result are not fully understood, but ylide stereochemistry is clearly important. Alkylation of sulfide 6 is expected to afford diastereomeric sulfonium salts 7 and 8, and deprotonation would produce ylide diastereomers 9 and 10. As mentioned above, 10 can easily adopt the geometry needed for rearrangement to 11, but this is not possible for 9 due to the distance between propenyl and ylide groups. The bromoacetate/trifluoroethanol conditions must allow improved access to 10, either by increasing the ratio of 7 to 8 in the alkylation step or by facilitating interconversion of sulfonium salt (7 and 8) or ylide (9 and 10) diastereomers. Pyramidal inversion at sulfur is one conceivable pathway for diastereomer interconversion, but this pathway is unlikely according to our model experiments or to the more extensive studies of Fava et al. 11,3 The transition state for pyramidal inversion is destabilized by the five-membered ring, and other equilibration pathways are usually faster. 11 Sulfonium salt diastereomer interconversion via heterolysis of the ring C-S bond is one reasonable option that might allow conversion of 8 to 7 under the trifluoroethanol conditions. Evidence for some contribution from this mechanism has been obtained in an investigation of alternative routes to 7 as described in the next section.

Alternative Synthesis of 7 and 11. Early difficulties with the ring expansion of 6 prompted an investigation of routes that avoid the troublesome intermolecular S-alkylation step. The alternative plan generates the cyclic sulfonium salts by *intramolecular* sulfide alkylation by using a tethered allylic halide as the alkylation agent¹² (eq 1). This approach avoids the need to isolate cyclic sulfide or sulfonium intermediates, but it sacrifices predictable control over thiolane stereochemistry at the tertiary carbon. We had initially assumed that this stereocenter would be critical to ylide ring expansion with the correct C_6 stereochemistry, but our concern proved to be unfounded.

A synthesis of a suitable acyclic sulfide corresponding to methynolide C_1 – C_7 is described in Scheme III. The sequence begins with the condensation of 3-pentanone, formaldehyde, and benzyl mercaptan by the method of Dronov et al.¹³ to produce the ketone 13. Enolization of 13 with lithium hexamethyldisilazide at -78 °C, followed by condensation with 2-((*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)propionaldehyde¹⁴ gave a mixture of diastereomeric aldol adducts 14. Mesylation of 14 (MsCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, -20 °C) followed by treatment of the crude mesylates with DBU in acetonitrile gave enone 15 (as a mixture of two inseparable diastereometers) in a combined overall yield of 60% based on ketone 13. No effort was made to evaluate or control remote stereochemistry at this stage because the complication is removed by subsequent transformations.

Numerous other conditions were explored for the aldol condensation of ketone 13. Surprisingly, the use of stronger bases in place of lithium hexamethyldisilazide for enolate generation gave substantial amounts of the undesired, more highly substituted

Table I

	R	base	20:21	(Z)-21: (E) -21
1	CH ₂ Ph	LDA	15:85	1:1
2	CH_2Ph	LiHMDS	<9:91	1.2:1
3	CH ₂ Ph	MesLi	<5:95	2:1
4	CH ₂ Ph	MesLi -110 to -78 °C	<5:95	3.6:1
5	Ph	MesLi	<5:95	2.7:1
6	CH ₂ CH ₂ SCH ₃	MesLi	<5:95	2.3:1

Table II

	hydride	solvent	23:24
1	DIBAL	Et ₂ O	1:2
2	$Zn(BH_4)_2$	Et ₂ O	2:1
3	LiBH ₄	Et ₂ O	1:1
4	SnCl ₄ -LiBH ₄	Et ₂ O	2:1
5	$SnCl_4-Zn(BH_4)_2$	Et ₂ O	5.5:1
6	$SnCl_4-Zn(BH_4)_2$	Et ₂ O-PhCH ₃	6.3:1

enolate. This result was established by enolate trapping with Me₃SiCl in situ at -78 °C. Thus, reaction of 13 with LDA produced an ca. 65:35 mixture in favor of the less substituted enolate, but mesityllithium¹⁵ in pentane–THF favored the most substituted enolate. Similar results were obtained with a related, sterically unbiased ketone 19 where reliable product analysis was possible by NMR spectroscopy (Table I). Deprotonation of 19 using LDA in the presence of Me₃SiCl gave a mixture of enol ethers 21 (major; E,Z mixture) and 20 (minor; >95% Z isomer¹⁶), while mesityllithium gave >95% 21. The reasons for this selectivity are not clear, but a similar β -heteroatom effect on kinetic enolate generation is reported for the corresponding β -tert-butyldimethylsiloxy ketone.^{17.18}

In the next stage of synthesis, methynolide C₂, C₃ stereochemistry was introduced by the reduction of enone 15 with zinc borohydride^{19,20} (Et₂O, -18 °C). The reaction produced the alcohols 16 and 17 in a ratio of 85:15 (90%). For best results, cyclopentene was added to the reaction mixture to trap borane byproducts, and this procedure was employed routinely for preperative scale experiments (45% 16 overall from 13). The stereochemical result was eventually proved by X-ray analysis, but indirect evidence from a model study was also obtained (Table II). Reduction of the model ketone 22 gave the sulfide alcohols 23 and 24, and stereochemistry was established by NMR coupling constant analysis of the derived cycylic benzylidine derivatives 25 and 26 (Scheme IV). The zinc borohydride experiment gave 23 as the major product, although selectivity was not as high as

⁽¹⁰⁾ Vedejs, E.; Mullins, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2947. (11) (a) Fava, A.; Cerë, V.; Paolucci, C.; Pollicino, S.; Sandri, E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4128. (b) Fava, A.; Paolucci, C.; Pollicino, S.; Sandri, E. Ibid. 1978, 43, 4826. (c) Fava, A.; Ceré, V.; Paolucci, C.; Pollicino, S.; Sandri, E. Ibid. 1981, 46, 3315.

⁽¹²⁾ For previous examples of the acyclic route to cyclic ylides, see ref 2a. (13) Dronov, V. I.; Nigmatullina, R. F.; Krivonogov, V. P.; Spirikhin, L. V.; Nikitin, Yu., E. Zh. Org. Khim. 1976, 12, 1672.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Baker, D. C.; Hawkins, L. D.; Massad, S. K. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 5180

⁽¹⁵⁾ Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Hoeckstra, M. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 1187.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Heathcock, C. H.; Buse, C. T.; Kleschick, W. A.; Pirrung, M. C.;
Sohn, J. E.; Lampe, J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1066.
(17) Martin, V. A.; Albizati, K. F. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5986.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Reversible elimination—readdition of the β -sulfide substituent was not detected when enolization was performed in the presence of PhSLi.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Gensler, W. J.; Johnson, F.; Sloan, A. B. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 6074.

⁽²⁰⁾ For evidence of chelation by α-sulfur, see: (a) Shimagaki, M.; Maeda, T.; Matsuzaki, Y.; Hori, J.; Nakata, T.; Oishi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 4775. (b) Shimagaki, M.; Matsuzaki, Y.; Hori, I.; Nakata, T.; Oishi, T. Ibid. 1984, 25, 4779.

Scheme III

18

in the analogous reduction of 15. Better selectivity for 23 was obtained in the presence of added Lewis acids, but this was not necessary in the more complex substrate 15. The major product 16 corresponds to least hindered reduction of an internally chelated substrate geometry such as 18.

With the C_2 , C_3 stereochemistry of methynolide established in the synthetic intermediates, it was now necessary to introduce the substituents required for sulfur ylide generation. After protection of hydroxyl as the benzyl ether 27, conversion of the sulfide directly into the related α -alkylthioacetate 28 was achieved simply by heating 27 with ethyl bromoacetate to partial conversion (74% isolated 28). The initially formed sulfonium ion was debenzylated by attack of nucleophilic bromide ion, and undesired S-alkylation of the product 28 was easily avoided because sulfur is inductively deactivated by the ester group. Finally, removal of the silyl ether $(Bu_4N^+F^-)$ gave the allylic alcohol 29, and treatment with Bu_3P/CCl_4^{21} afforded allylic chloride 30, the key precursor for generation of cyclic sulfonium salts (63% overall from 16).

Conversion of the chloride 30 into 7b via intramolecular S-alkylation required activation by NaI. When the experiment was performed in acetonitrile containing 2,6-lutidine, conversion occurred at room temperature to give a mixture of products consisting of 11b (47%), a diastereomer tentatively assigned the structure 12b (trace), and the dienes 32 (30%) and 33 (9%). Diene formation could be suppressed by performing the reaction in trifluoroethanol. This variation gave much faster conversion,

presumably due to solvent assistance in the ionization of an intermediate allylic iodide 31. However, 11b was obtained in only 25% yield, and a new major product 34 (59%) was formed by solvent capture of allylic cation intermediates. The best compromise in conditions proved to be the combination of 6:1 CH₃CN/CF₃CH₂OH and NaI/2,6-lutidine at room temperature, resulting in conversion of 30 into 11b (66%), diene 33 (ca. 8-10%), and traces of the other products.

The 66% yield of 11b in the above experiment indicates efficient access to the ylide diastereomer 10b and, therefore, to the precursor sulfonium salt diastereomer 7b. However, selective cyclization of the allylic iodide 31 or the allylic cation 35 to 7b seems unlikely since three other sulfonium salt diastereomers 8b, 36b, and 37b are possible (Scheme VI). If all four salts are formed nonselectively, then at least partial diastereomer interconversion is necessary for efficient ring expansion. Interconversion could occur via reversible formation of the allylic cation 35, and some evidence for this pathway has been obtained. The key experiment has already been mentioned briefly in connection with Scheme II. Thus, reaction of 6b with ethyl bromoacetate/NaI in trifluoroethanol + 2,6-lutidine at 80 °C gave the usual ring expansion product 11b (59%) and some of the epimeric 12b (8%). In addition, this experiment afforded 34 (7%), the product expected from ionization to the allylic cation 35 followed by solvent capture.

Assuming that **6b** is alkylated nonselectively, both **7b** and **8b** would be formed in the initial step. Since no ring expansion products (strained (E)-thiacyclooctenes) derived from **8b** via the ylide **9b** have been detected, **8b** probably undergoes C-S heterolysis in the ionizing solvent trifluoroethanol to give the cation **35**. Solvent capture of the cation to form **34** occurs in competition with internal S-alkylation, and some fraction of **35** will reclose to generate all of the possible sulfonium diastereomers. This process provides a pathway for the partial conversion of **8b** into **7b** and, ultimately, into the ring expansion product **11b**.

In principle, the trifluoroethanol conditions allow the reversible generation of four sulfonium salt or ylide diastereomers. It is therefore surprising that only one product (11b) of ylide rearrangement or decomposition is produced in substantial amounts. For example, a diastereomeric (Z)-thiacyclooctene 39b could have been formed via the sulfonium salt 37b and the corresponding ylide 38b. Apparantly, the 2,3-sigmatropic shift of ylide 38b is retarded by the gauche interaction between the propenyl group and the

Scheme V

Scheme VI

five-membered ring C-H bond. There must be a significant kinetic advantage for the ring expansion from 7b to 11b, and this feature is ultimately responsible for the yield improvement under equilibrating conditions in the presence of trifluoroethanol.

II. Conversion of Thiacyclooctenes to 11-Membered Sulfides

In the next stage of synthesis, a choice had to be made between the two strategies considered in Scheme I. Ideally, the trisubstituted Z double bond would be reduced at the eight-membered ring stage (11) because there are no other double bonds present to raise selectivity issues. On the other hand, the presence of sulfur

in 11 severely restricts the choice of catalytic hydrogenation conditions. After numerous unsuccessful attempts to hydrogenate the alkene ester 11a or the corresponding alkene alcohol 40a, we decided to evaluate route B (Scheme VII). There are potential disadvantages in this approach, including the need for solvolytic sulfur replacement by oxygen and the need to discriminate between two double bonds in the hydrogenation step. However, the attractive feature of route B is that this sequence postpones the olefin hydrogenation step until sulfur has been removed from the molecule, after the sequence of ylide ring expansions and acyl transfer.

Scheme VII

1. Evaluation of the Route B Strategy. Conversion of 11a to the necessary ring expansion substrate 42a required reduction of 11a to 40a (DIBAL) and oxidation to 41a under the Swern conditions, 23 followed by Wittig olefination. This sequence worked well, but the vinyl derivative 42a was obtained as a mixture of diastereomers. Ring expansion to the 11-membered ring stage was achieved in excellent yield by treatment of the sulfide 42a with keto triflate 43. Alkylation occurred smoothly at room temperature, and the sensitive sulfonium salt intermediate was deprotonated in situ with potassium carbonate to give 44 as a mixture of diastereomers. Diastereomer formation at this stage was of some concern, but no attempt was made to optimize the product ratio pending a demonstration of subsequent steps in the synthesis.

The critical sulfur activation sequence for conversion to a thiolactone was the primary concern since this transformation is essential for the acyl transfer strategy. The major ring expansion isomer was oxidized with MCPBA to the sulfoxide 46 without difficulty, but subsequent steps encountered unexpected complications. Thus, reaction of 46 with *n*-butyllithium followed by

(23) Swern, D.; Marcuso, A. J. Synthesis 1981, 165, and references therein.

chlorodiphenylphosphine afforded the unstable phosphine sulfoxide 48. By analogy to the model studies, 8 treatment of the phosphine sulfoxide with iodine induced the internal migration of oxygen from sulfur to phosphorus, resulting in the thermodynamically more stable sulfide phosphine oxide 49 (57%). However, a second product was also formed (40%) in the phosphenylation experiment. 22b This substance had lost the C3 oxygen substituent, and the UV chromophore at 270 nm (ϵ = 3200) indicated a conjugated diene. Disappearance of the disubstituted olefin protons in the NMR spectrum, a molecular ion containing iodine in the mass spectrum, and the presence of characteristic NMR signals served to define 50 as a likely structure for the undesired product. Further exposure of 49 to the reaction conditions did not induce the conversion to 50. However, decomposition of the phosphenylation mixture containing 48 in the absence of the usual iodine catalyst afforded a new product, the vinyl sulfide 51. Treatment of 51 with aqueous acid resulted in efficient conversion into 50. This reaction probably involves the sulfur-assisted elimination of the doubly allylic oxygen substituent at C₃ to give the cation 52, followed by transannular cyclization to 50. However, the origins of vinyl sulfide 51 remain obscure.

The final blow to the strategy of route B came when all attempts to deprotonate the phosphine oxide 49 as required for Horner-Bestmann oxygenation8 to the thiolactone failed. According to the principles that govern medium-ring conformational preferences, 5 the bulky diphenylphosphinyl group undoubtedly prefers a pseudoequatorial location. The far less demanding α -proton at C_1 is therefore placed in the hindered interior of the medium-sized ring where base cannot easily find it. In view of these complications, work on route B was discontinued.

2. Demonstration of the Route A Strategy. To make route A viable, conditions had to be developed that would reduce the hindered trisubstituted double bond in 40. It was finally found that 40 could be hydrogenated by treatment with diimide at temperatures above 120 °C.²⁴ For best results, a solution of 40

^{(22) (}a) For details of structure proof and procedures, see: Meier, G. P. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Wisconsin, 1981. (b) **50**: 270 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.78 (1 H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 5.62 (1 H, br s), 4.35 (1 H, ddd, J = 11.4, 11.4, 4.8 Hz), 3.39 (1 H, dd, J = 7.0, 3.3 Hz), 3.36 (1 H, d, J = 11 Hz) 3.02 (1 H, dq, J = 8.8 Hz), 2.73 (1 H, dd, J = 12.9, 4.8 Hz), 2.65 (1 H, dd, J = 12.9, 11.4 Hz), 2.30 (1 H, dd, J = 11, 11 Hz), 1.88 (3 H, s); 1.78 (1 H, m), 1.73 (3 H, s), 1.43 (1 H, m), 1.38 (3 H, s), 0.94 (3 H, t, J = 7.7 Hz), 0.90 (9 H, s), 0.86 (3 H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 0.12 (3 H, s), 0.04 (3 H, s). **51**: 270 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.82 (1 H, s), 5.22 (1 H, m), 5.16 (1 H, ddd, J = 15.4, 9.6, 5.5 Hz), 4.92 (1 H, dd, J = 15.4, 8.9 Hz), 4.8 (1 H, s), 4.58 (1 H, d, J = 6.3 Hz), 4.55 (1 H, d, J = 6.3 Hz), 3.63 (1 H, dd, J = 7.0, 3.3 Hz), 3.4 (3 H, s), 2.77 (1 H, m), 2.53 (1 H, dd, J = 13.2, 5.5 Hz), 2.03 (1 H, dd, J = 13.2, 9.6 Hz), 1.80 (1 H, m), 1.73 (6 H, br s), 1.51 (1 H, m), 1.26 (3 H, s), 1.02 (3 H, d, J = 6.6), 0.98 (3 H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 0.89 (9 H, s), 0.07 (3 H, s), 0.06 (3 H, s).

Scheme VIII

was refluxed in ethylene glycol-diglyme/Et₃N, while toluenesulfonylhydrazide was slowly added with a syringe pump. The high dilution technique probably minimizes bimolecular pathways for diimide self-destruction and allows reduction of the stubborn alkene. Only one isomer could be detected from this experiment, and the stereochemistry as shown in 53 is proved by eventual conversion to methynolide. The correct result was anticipated from the preferred bonding of diimide to the back side of the double bond (peripheral attack) in a transition state similar to the geometry suggested for 40. This conformation, and the corresponding partially rehybridized bicyclic transition state for diimide reduction, avoids serious transannular as well as 1,3-eclipsing interactions and features the pseudoequatorial local conformation for allylic substituents that has been demonstrated in other cis addition reactions involving medium-sized rings.5 Complete conversion of the alkene was difficult, but a practical result was achieved by performing the reduction to 50-60% conversion. Recycling unreacted 40 eventually gave >80% isolated yields of 53, and as high as 84% product recovery was possible depending on the protecting group used at secondary hydroxyl and on the number of recycle operations. Comparable results were obtained in either series (40a or 40b), but the benzyl ethers (series b) proved more suitable for later steps and were used in subsequent transformations.

To set the stage for the last 2,3-sigmatropic ring expansion, 53 was converted into the sensitive aldehyde 54 with use of the Swern conditions. Conventional Wittig olefination then gave the vinyl derivative 55 in 86% overall yield. However, it proved impossible to avoid epimerization of 54 through this sequence, and the derived 55 was obtained as an ca. 1:1 mixture of α and β isomers. This fact was of great initial concern because the next stage of synthesis involves a 2,3-sigmatropic ring expansion to 59 or 60 with critical consequences for remote stereocontrol. The same situation had been encountered in route B, and the presence of diastereomers in the starting materials had resulted in a diastereomer mixture in the products 44. Such a result would have complicated plans for using stereochemistry α to sulfur (C_{10}) to control the eventual stereocenter at C_{11} . However, the reaction of either diastereomer of 55 with the ketotriflate reagent²⁵ (Scheme

VIII) in the presence of potassium carbonate gave the same major product 60: A kinetic selectivity of ca. 15:1 in favor of 60 over 59 was achieved from the 1:1 mixture of 55. 26 One of the separated isomers reacted with exceptional selectivity (>40:1 60:59), but separation of 55 was not necessary for a practical result and was not usually done. Special care was required in the purification of 60 due to facile equilibration, but ratios in excess of 10:1 60:59 were routinely obtained after product isolation (76% efficiency based on ca. 10% recovered 55).

The most plausible explanation for the above stereochemical result is that sulfur alkylation of either diastereomer of 55 occurs from the "peripheral" direction in the conformation shown, regardless of vinyl stereochemistry. Either ylide diastereomer 56,57 (both ylide α -carbon rotamers illustrated) or 58 (favored rotamer illustrated) can then adopt the necessary transoid vinyl geometry for rearrangement to an (E)-alkene. In the case of rotamer 57, formation of the major product 60 requires an exceptional "pseudoaxial" COC₂H₅ substituent with respect to the five-center transition state for 2,3-shift. However, the conformational preferences of the eight-membered ring are more important, and rearrangement via rotamer 57 is favored over the competing pathway via rotamer 56. The likely reason is that rotamer 56 encounters increased interactions between the COC₂H₅ substituent and the adjacent ring CH2 group. The diastereomeric ylide 58 can undergo ring expansion to 60 with the preferred pseudoequatorial COC₂H₅ orientation with respect to the five-center transition state and with the same favored eight-membered ring environment suggested for 57. Due to the combination of favorable conformational factors, it is likely that ylide 58 is the diastereomer that undergoes ring expansion with the high >40:1 preference for 60 over 59.

The above results settled the choice of strategies in favor of route A. Access to an 11-membered cyclic sulfide containing four of the six asymmetric centers of methynolide had been achieved by using sulfur ylide ring expansion technology. A solution to the remaining stereochemical problems, the removal of sulfur from the molecule, and final adjustment of functionality are described in the following paper.

III. Experimental Section

3-(tert-ButyIthio)-2-methylpropanal. A mixture of 2-methyl-2propanethiol (45.0 g, 0.50 mol, Aldrich, 90% purity) and 2-methylpropenal (35 g, 0.50 mol) was refluxed with Et₃N (5.0 mL) as catalyst overnight. A distilling apparatus was substituted for the reflux condenser, and pure product (73.1 g, 0.46 mol, 92%) was distilled: bp 80-85 °C at 14 mm: MS, exact mass = 160.09226; calcd for $C_8H_{16}OS$, 160.09218, error = 0.5 ppm; IR (CCl₄, cm⁻¹) CHO 2801 (m), 1727 (s); 100 MHz NMR (CCl₄) δ 9.61 (1 H, s), 2.8 (1 H, m), 2.95–2.70 (2 H, m), 1.33 (9 H, s), 1.17 (3 H, d, J = 7 Hz).

(E)-5-(tert-Butylthio)-2,4-dimethyl-2-pentenal (1). A solution of the cyclohexylimine of propionaldehyde²⁷ (4.58 g, 33.0 mmol) in THF (25 mL) at 0 °C was treated with LDA (33 mmol, 1.4 M in THF-hexane). After 10 min, the green-yellow solution was cooled to -78 °C, and 3-(tert-butylthio)-2-methylpropanal from above (4.51 g, 28.2 mmol) was added dropwise. After 2 h the solution was allowed to warm, and the solvent was evaporated. Oxalic acid (13 g), 40 mL of water, and 10 mL of THF were then added, and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h; 40 mL of Et₂O and 40 mL of water were then added. The upper layer was washed with saturated NaCl (1 × 40 mL), saturated NaHCO₃ (1 × 40 mL), and saturated NaCl (1 × 40 mL), dried with MgSO₄, and evaporated (aspirator). Distillation (97-100 °C at 0.55 mm) afforded 3.48 g (61%) of enal sufficiently pure for the next step. IR (CCl₄, cm⁻¹) 2820, 2708, 1691; 100 MHz NMR (CCl₄) δ 9.33 (1 H, s), 6.17 (1 H, dq, J =1.8, 10 Hz), 3.0-2.65 (1 H, m), 2.55-2.40 (2 H, m), 1.73 (3 H, s), 1.29 (9 H, s), 1.15 (3 H, d, J = 6 Hz).

Ethyl 7-(tert-Butylthio)-4,6-dimethyl-2,4-heptadienoate (2). Neat (EtO)₂P(O)CH₂CO₂Et (Aldrich, 5.95 mL, 30.0 mmol) was dropped into a suspension of NaH (Ventron, 724 mg, 30.0 mmol) in THF (50 mL) under slight N₂ pressure. After an additional hour of stirring at ambient temperature, unsaturated aldehyde 1 (5.0 g, 25 mmol) was slowly added. A gummy precipitate formed during this addition and made even mechanical stirring difficult. After 1 day, the yellow supernatant was decanted, and the solid was washed with 50 mL of Et₂O. The solvent was evaporated, and the product was distilled (5.14 g, 76%, bp 130 °C at 0.18 mm). 2: MS, exact mass = 270.16678; calcd for $C_{15}H_{26}O_{2}S$, 270.16536; error = 5.3 ppm; IR (CCl_4 , cm^{-1}) C=O, 1694; 100 MHz NMR (CCl₄) δ 7.20 (1 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 5.74 (1 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 5.68 (1 H, d, J = 7 Hz), 4.12 (2 H, q, J = 6 Hz), 2.9-2.3 (3 H, m), 1.81 (3 Hz)H, s), 1.28 (9 H, s), 1.26 (3 H, t, J = 6 Hz), 1.09 (3 H, d, J = 6 Hz).

Ethyl 3-(3-Acetoxy-2,4-dimethylthiolan-2-yl)propenoate (5a). A solution of the diene sulfide 2 (45.5 g, 169 mmol) in CH₃CO₂H (200 mL) was treated with 30% H₂O₂ (Fischer, 19.3 g, 170 mmol) over a 20-min period. The rate of addition was adjusted to maintain a reaction temperature of about 45 °C. Following overnight stirring, the solvent was partially distilled (aspirator), leaving 110 mL of a solution of the sulfoxide 3. The above solution (10.0 mL, 15.3 mmol sulfoxide) was injected with a syringe pump (0.15 mL/min) into refluxing 1:1 (CH₃CO)₂O/ CH₃CO₂H (50 mL) containing BF₃·Et₂O (0.10 mL) as catalyst. The nearly black reaction was refluxed for an additional 15 min whereupon 0.25 g of NaO₂CCH₃·H₂O was added, and the solvents were removed by distillation (aspirator). The dark residue was dissolved in 20 mL of Et₂O and stirred with 20 mL of saturated NaHCO3 for 30 min. The Et2O solution was washed with water (1 × 20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), evaporated, and distilled (bp 133 °C at 0.09 mm) to yield a viscous yellow oil 5a (3.36 g, 81%). An analytical sample was obtained by preparative GLPC (5 ft × 1/4 in. 8% SE-30/Chromosorb W). MS, exact mass = 272.10899; calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}O_4S$, 272.10824, error = 2.8 ppm; IR (CCl₄, cm⁻¹) C=O, 1720; 100 MHz NMR (CCl₄) δ 6.84 (1 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 5.68 (1 H, d, J = 16 Hz), 4.88 (1 H, d, J = 10 Hz), 4.11 (2 H, q, J = 10 Hz)5 Hz), 2.89 (1 H, dd, J = 10, 8 Hz), 2.59 (1 H, dd, J = 10 Hz, 10 Hz), 2.6-2.4 (1 H, m), 2.04 (3 H, s), 1.46 (3 H, s), 1.27 (3 H, t, J = 5 Hz), 1.07 (3 H, d, J = 6 Hz).

Ethyl-3-(3-(Methoxymethoxy)-2,4-dimethylthiolan-2-yl)propenoate (5c). A solution of the acetate 5a (29.8 g, 0.109 mol) in CH₃OH (110 mL) was stirred for 45 min with K₂CO₃ (4.0 g). The base was then neutralized with aqueous HCl (4.8 mL of a 6 M solution), and the solvent was evaporated. The yellow oil was then dissolved in 50% Et₂O/hexane (200 mL) and washed with 3×200 mL water. After drying (MgSO₄) and evaporation, the alcohol 5b was sufficiently pure for the next step. The methoxy methyl ether 5c was prepared according to the procedure of Fujita et al.28 A solution of the hydroxythiolane 5b (85.6 g, 0.396 mol) in 100 mL of dry CHCl₃ and 500 mL of dry dimethoxymethane was

(26) Kinetic selectivity was determined by NMR methods on the crude product obtained from rapid workup at 0 °C

(27) Wittig, G. Top. Curr. Chem. 1976, 67, 1. Wittig, R.; Reiff, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1968, 7, 7. (28) Fuji, K.; Nakano, S.; Fujita, F. Synthesis 1975, 276. (29) Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.

rapidly stirred (magnetic stir bar) and P2O5 (79.0 g) was added in 5 portions over 1 h, during which a gummy precipitate formed. After stirring for an additional 3 h no alcohol was detected by TLC. The solution was decanted into 200 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO3, extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and filtered, and solvent was removed (aspirator). The resulting yellow oil was distilled (bp 105-115 °C at 0.05 mmHg) to give a light yellow oil (87.6 g, 85%). An analytical sample of 5c was obtained by preparative TLC (50% ether/hexane, R = 0.47); MS, exact mass 260.1083; calcd for $C_{12}H_{20}$ O_4S , 260.1084, 0.4 ppm error). IR (neat, cm⁻¹) C=O, 1710 (s); 270 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.10 (1 H, d, J = 15.8 Hz), 5.86 (1 H, d, J =15.8 Hz), 4.62 (1 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 4.56 (1 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.74 (3 H, s), 3.61 (1 H, d, J = 9.6 Hz), 3.34 (3 H, s), 2.87 (1 H, dd, J = 10.2, 6.8 Hz), 2.7-2.3 (2 H, m), 1.52 (3 H, s), 1.16 (3 H, d, J = 6.3 Hz).

2,4-Dimethyl-3-(methoxymethoxy)-2-(1-propenyl)thiolane (6a). To a solution of the thiolane enoate 5c (35.4 g, 0.136 mol) in 200 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C under N₂ was added DIBAL (1.0 M in THF, 300 mL, 0.300 mol) via cannula. After the solution had been stirred for 20 min at -78 °C and then had been brought to 24 °C, it was added slowly to 100 mL of 10% HCl via cannula. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with 100 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 100 mL). The combined organics were washed with 10% HCl (3 \times 100 mL) followed by brine $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$, dried (K_2CO_3) , and filtered, and solvent was removed (aspirator) to give a yellow oil (30.0 g) which was used in the subsequent step without further purification. The resulting allylic alcohol (30.00 g, 0.129 mmol) was dissolved in 300 mL of dry THF under N_2 and was cooled to -78 °C. A solution of *n*-butyllithium (95) mL, 0.162 mol) in hexane was added over 0.5 h. The solution was stirred for 15 min at -78 °C, and then methanesulfonyl chloride (12.5 mL, 0.162 mol) was rapidly added. The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 0.75 h, and lithium triethylborohydride (1 M in THF, 194 mL, 0.194 mol) was then added over 0.75 h. The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 0.5 h and warmed to 24 °C. After cannula transfer into 500 mL of 10% HOAc, the mixture was extracted with ether $(4 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organics were washed (4 × 50 mL of 10% aqueous NaOH, 3 × 50 mL of H_2O , and 2×50 mL of brine), dried (K_2CO_3) , and filtered, and solvent was removed (aspirator) to give a yellow oil. The yellow oil was eluted through 0.45 Kg of Al₂O₃ (Fisher) with 2 L of 10% ether/hexane, the solvent was evaporated, and the residual oil was distilled to give the title compound (bp 62-65 °C at 0.5 mmHg, 22.54 g, 80%). 6a: MS, exact mass 216.11840; calcd for $C_{11}H_{20}O_2S$, 216.11839, 0.0 ppm error; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) C-O, 1050, 1030; 100 MHz NMR (CCl₄) δ 5.7-5.3 (2) H, m), 4.6 (1 H, d, J = 7 Hz), 4.32 (1 H, d, J = 7 Hz), 3.36 (1 H, d, d, d)J = 4 Hz), 3.22 (3 H, s), 2.9–2.0 (3 H, m), 1.60 (3 H, d, J = 5 Hz), 1.32 (3 H, s), 1.05 (3 H, d, J = 7 Hz).

2,4-Dimethyl-3-(benzyloxy)-2-(1-propenyl)thiolane (6b). The methoxymethoxy ether 6a was heated at 65 °C in methanol (5 mL) containing 2 drops concentrated HCl for 1.5 h. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with ether (15 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated (aspirator) to give the deprotected secondary alcohol 6c as an oil. Preparation of the benzyl ether 6b was performed by adding the alcohol 6c (115 mg, 0.668 mmol) in THF (5 mL) to a stirred suspension of NaH (20 mg, 0.834 mmol) in 0.5 mL of THF. After 1 h, benzyl bromide (0.24 mL, 2.02 mmol) and tetra-nbutylammonium iodide (5 mg) were added, and the reaction was stirred 18 h at room temperature. After dilution with ether (15 mL), the mixture was washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl, water, and brine (7 mL each), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated (aspirator). Purification by flash chromatography 29 (2% ethyl acetate/hexane) gave 131 mg (74%) of 6b: oil, analytical TLC (silica gel F254, 10% ethyl acetate/hexane, $R_f = 0.51$); MS, exact mass 262.1397; calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}OS$, 262.1392; error = 2.1 ppm; 200 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.36-7.22 (5 H, m), 5.79-5.50 (2 H, m), 4.68 (1 H, d, J = 11.4 Hz), 4.45 (1 H, d, J = 11.4Hz), 3.35 (1 H, d, 9.9 Hz), 2.85-2.74 (1 H, m), 2.53-2.28 (2 H, m), 1.69 (3 H, d, J = 4.8 Hz), 1.53 (3 H, br s), 1.08 (3 H, d, J = 6.2 Hz).

2-Carbethoxy-6-(methoxymethoxy)-3,5,7-trimethylthiacyclooct-4-ene (11a) from 6a via Triflate Alkylation and Ring Expansion. The thiolane 6a (0.4115 g, 1.90 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of dry CH₃CN at 24 °C, and K₂CO₃ (freshly roasted, 0.276 g, 2.0 mmol) was added. Carbethoxymethyl trifluoromethylsulfonate²⁵ was added, and the slurry was stirred 7.5 h. The reaction was quenched with 2 mL of 25% Me₂NH in H₂O and extracted three times with 10-mL portions of 20% ether/hexane, and the organic phase was washed twice with 10 mL of H₂O and twice with 10 mL of brine. The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and evaporated to give a yellow oil (0.6997 g). Preparative TLC $(SiO_2/15\% \text{ ether/hexane})$ gave 11a as a colorless oil $(R_f 0.28, 0.2050 \text{ g},$ 36%, bp 90-110 °C at 0.05 mmHg). MS, exact mass 302.1551; calcd for $C_{15}H_{26}O_4S$, 302.1545, 0.3 ppm error; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) CO_2Et , 1710; 270 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.46 (1 H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), 4.70 (1 H, d, J= 10.3 Hz), 4.54 (2 H, s), 4.19 (1 H, dq, J = 11.0, 7.0 Hz), 4.14 (1 H,

dq, J = 11.0, 7.0 Hz), 3.42 (3 H, s), 3.40–3.30 (1 H, m), 3.22 (1 H, d, J = 2.6 Hz), 3.15–2.90 (1 H, m), 2.30 (1 H, dd, J = 15.1, 2.2 Hz), 2.18 (1 H, m), 1.67 (3 H, br s), 1.28 (3 H, t, 7.0 Hz), 1.18 (3 H, d, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.10 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz).

Ring Expansion of Thiolane 6b (CF₃CH₂OH Conditions). A solution of sulfide 6b (47.4 mg, 0.181 mmol), ethyl bromoacetate (61 μL , 0.613 mmol), 2,6-lutidine (32 μL , 0.275 mmol), and sodium iodide (86.0 mg, 0.574 mmol) in 3 mL of 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol was heated at 80 °C for 23 h. The reaction was cooled, diluted with ca. 15 mL of ether, washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl, water, and brine (7 mL each), and the organics were dried. After filtration and solvent removal the residue was eluted down a short silica gel plug (10% ethyl acetate/hexane) to remove polar impurities. Solvent evaporation followed by chromatography (HPLC, 4% ethyl acetate/hexane, 5 mL/min) yielded 2.7 mg (4%) of the starting sulfide 8, 42.6 mg (67%) of 11b and 12b (7:1), and 5.7 mg (7%) of the trifluoroethyl ethers 34.

6-(Benzyloxy)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-3,5,7-trimethylthiacyclooct-4-ene (40b). To a stirred, 0 °C solution of the esters 11b containing residual 33 (10.0 mg, 28.7 μ mol; 3.5:1 ratio, respectively) in 2 mL of ether was added lithium aluminum hydride (1.6 mg, 42.1 μ mol). The reaction was stirred for 10 min at 0 °C followed by warming to room temperature. After 34 min the reaction was quenched with 3 drops of water, diluted with ca. 10 mL of ether, and washed with water and brine (5 mL each), and the organics were dried (MgSO₄). After filtration and solvent removal the residue was eluted down a short silica gel plug (20% ethyl acetate/hexane) to remove polar impurities. Solvent removal and chromatography (HPLC, 20% ethyl acetate/hexane, 5 mL/min) gave 6.6 mg of alcohol 40b, 97% based on 11b. 40b: oil, analytical TLC (silica gel F254), 20% ethyl acetate/hexane, $R_f = 0.29$; MS, exact mass calcd for $C_8H_{26}O_2S = 306.1653$; found = 306.1648, error = 1.8 ppm, IR (neat, cm⁻¹) O-H, 3450; C-O, 1050, 200 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.38-7.26 (5 H, m), 5.22 (1 H, br d, J = 9.0 Hz), 4.48 (1 H, d, J = 11.4 Hz), 4.32 (1 H, d, J = 10.0 Hz), 4.23 (1 H, d, J = 11.4 Hz), 3.78 (1 H, ddd, J)= 11.0, 11.0, 4.4 Hz), 3.47 (1 H, dd, J = 11.0, 11.0 Hz), 3.14-2.98 (1 H, m), 2.84 (1 H, dd, J = 14.5, 3.5 Hz), 2.72 (1 H, br d, J = 11.0 Hz), 2.42 (1 H, br d, J = 11.0 Hz), 2.23-2.09 (1 H, m), 2.10 (1 H, dd, J = 11.0 Hz)14.5, 2.4 Hz), 1.66 (3 H, br s), 1.16 (3 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.11 (3 H, d, J = 7.0 Hz).

Diimide Reduction of 40b. Preparation of 6-(Benzyloxy)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-3,5,7-trimethylthiocane (53b). To a stirred solution of olefin 40b (340.8 mg, 1.113 mmol) and Et₃N (3.30 mL, 23.6 mmol) in 5 mL of ethylene glycol in a 190 °C silicone oil bath was added dropwise via cannula a solution of p-toluenesulfonylhydrazide (4.14 g, 22.4 mmol; Aldrich) in 16 mL of diglyme. After addition was complete (4 h) the reaction was heated an additional 30 min and cooled. The mixture was diluted with ca. 30 mL of ether, washed with 5% aqueous NaOH (2 × 15 mL), water (2 \times 15 mL), and brine (15 mL). The combined aqueous washes were extracted with 10 mL of ether, and the combined organics were dried (MgSO₄). After filtration, ether evaporation, and Kugelrohr distillation (ca. 120 °C at 0.5 mmHg) to remove diglyme, the residue was eluted down a short silica gel plug (20% ethyl acetate/hexane). Solvent evaporation and chromatography (HPLC, 16% ethyl acetate/hexane, 5 mL/min) afforded 146 mg of starting olefin 40b and 172 mg of sulfide 53b. The recovered olefin (146 mg, 0.478 mmol) was resubmitted to the reaction conditions with use of Et₃N (1.40 mL, 10.0 mmol), ethylene glycol (2 mL), and p-toluenesulfonylhydrazide (1.80 g, 9.66 mmol) in 7 mL of diglyme. Identical workup of the reaction and chromatography as above gave 63.3 mg of recovered olefin 40b (18% based on starting **40b**) and 53.7 mg of **53b**, for a combined yield of 225.7 mg (66% based on 40b) of 53b: oil, analytical TLC (silica gel F254), 20% ethyl acetate/hexane, $R_f = 0.28$; MS, exact mass calcd for $C_8H_{28}O_2S = 308.181$; found = 308.1805, error = 1.6 ppm; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) O-H, 3450, C-O, 1075; 200 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.36–7.27 (5 H, m), 4.63 (1 H, d, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.51 (1 H, d, J = 11.2 Hz), 3.62 (1 H, ddd, J = 11.0, 11.0, 4.6 Hz), 3.41 (1 H, dd, J = 11.0, 11.0 Hz), 3.22 (1 H, dd, J = 8.1, 2.4 Hz), 3.11 (1 H, ddd, J = 10.3, 3.9, 3.7 Hz), 2.67 (1 H, d, J = 14.1 Hz), 2.39-2.14 (3 H, m), 2.07-1.84 (3 H, m), 1.10 (1 H, dd, J = 13.9, 7.1Hz), 1.09 (3 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.06 (3 H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 0.78 (3 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz

Diimide Reduction of 40a. 2-(Hydroxymethylene)-6-(methoxymethoxy)-3,5,7-trimethylthiocane (53a). p-Toluenesulfonhydrazide (52 g, 20 equiv) in diglyme (170 mL) was added dropwise over 10.5 h via a pressure equalizing funnel to olefin 40a (3.63 g, 14.0 mmol) in ethylene glycol (180 mL, distilled from sodium hydroxide) and triethylamine (41 mL, 21 equiv) which had been preheated to 180 °C. The yellow mixture was heated at this temperature another 0.5 h. After cooling to room temperature the solvents were removed at reduced pressure (5 mmHg, 71 °C). The residue was dissolved in ether (200 mL) and was washed with 10% NaOH (3 × 30 mL), water (2 × 20 mL), and brine (1 × 20 mL). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with ether (2 × 100

mL), and the above wash procedure was repeated. The organic layers were combined, and the resulting yellow oil was passed through a silica gel plug (60-200 mesh, 50 g), eluting with chloroform to remove tosylhydrazide byproducts. Elution with 50% ethyl acetate gave a yellow viscous oil (3.58 g), from which starting material 570 mg) was obtained by recrystallization from 5% ethyl acetate. Preparative HPLC of the mother liquor (27% ethyl acetate, 13% methylene chloride, 60% hexane) separated the reduction product 53a, a clear oil (retention time 19.4 min), from the starting material 40a (retention time 15.1 min). After solvent removal the ratio of reduction product 53a (2.35 g, 64%) to olefin 40a (0.85 g, 23%) was found to be 2.8:1. 53a: oil; silica gel, 1:4 ether/ hexane, $R_f = 0.11$; m/e: exact mass calcd for $C_{13}H_{26}O_3S_1 = 262.1596$; found = 262.1599, error = 1.2 ppm; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) OH, 3450; 270 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) ppm 4.66 (1 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 4.63 (1 H, d, J =6.8 Hz), 3.61 (1 H, ddd, J = 11.3, 10.9, 4.3 Hz), 3.41 (1 H, dd, J = 10.9, 10.7 Hz), 3.37 (3 H, s), 3.30 (1 H, dd, J = 8.0, 1.8 Hz), 3.09 (1 H, ddd, J = 10.7, 4.3, 4.3 Hz), 2.89 (1 H, dd, J = 15.4, 1.3 Hz), 2.39 (1 H, d, J = 11.3 Hz), 2.33 (1 H, dd, J = 15.4, 7.7 Hz), 2.18–1.98 (1 H, m), 2.14 (1 H, dddq, 8.0, 7.7, 1.3, 6.9), 1.97–1.83 (2 H, m), 1.18–1.08 (1 H, m); 1.06 (3 H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.97 (3 H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 0.78 (3 H, d, J= 6.6 Hz).

Preparation of 6-(Benzyloxy)-3,5,7-trimethyl-2-vinylthiocanes (55). To a stirred, -78 °C solution of oxalyl chloride ($10~\mu$ L, 0.114~mmol) in 2 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added DMSO ($17~\mu$ L, 0.239~mmol). After ca. 2 min the alcohol 53 (32.0~mg, 0.104~mmol) in 2 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise via cannula, and the mixture was stirred for 20 min. Triethylamine ($58~\mu$ L, 0.416~mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred at -78~°C for 20 min. The ice bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred an additional 7 min. It was then diluted with ca. 10 mL of ether and washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl, water, and brine (5~mL each), and the organics were dried (MgSO₄). Filtration and solvent removal afforded 30.7 mg of an oil which was carried on without further purification due to the sensitivity of the aldehyde 54.

To a stirred suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (52.9 mg, 0.148 mmol; Aldrich) in 2 mL of toluene was added potassium tert-butoxide (0.36 mL, 0.40 M in THF). After 1 h the bright yellow ylide solution was cooled to -78 °C, and the aldehyde 54 in 2 mL of toluene was added dropwise via cannula. The reaction was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C followed by removal of the ice bath and stirring an additional 30 min. The mixture was applied directly to a short silica gel plug and eluted with 5% ethyl acetate/hexane to afford 27.1 mg (86%) of the vinyl epimers 55 (α : β = 1.3:1 by NMR integration). These were separated by HPLC (2% ethyl acetate/hexane, 5 mL/min) to give 13.4 mg of α -vinyl 55 and 11.0 mg of β -vinyl 55 (stereochemical assignments are tentative).

 α -55. Oil, analytical TLC (silica gel F254), 20% ethyl acetate/hexane, $R_f = 0.54$; MS, exact mass calcd for $C_9H_{28}OS = 304.1861$; found = 304.1877, error = 5.2 ppm; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) C=C, 1640, C=O, 1050; 270 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.36=7.25 (5 H, m), 5.90 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.8, 10.1, 7.4 Hz), 5.22 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.8, 1.4, 1.4 Hz), 5.13 (1 H, ddd, J = 10.1, 1.2, 1.2 Hz), 4.60 (1 H, d, J = 11.2 Hz), 4.49 (1 H, d, J = 11.2 Hz), 3.49 (1 H, dd, J = 8.8, 3.2 Hz), 3.33 (1 H, dd, J = 7.6, 3.8 Hz), 2.91 (1 H, dd, J = 15.5, 2.9 Hz), 2.41 (1 H, dd, J = 15.5, 5.3 Hz), 2.22=2.05 (3 H, m), 1.82 (1 H, ddd, J = 15.2, 8.8, 8.6 Hz), 1.19 (1 H, ddd, J = 15.2, 6.5, 2.6 Hz), 1.07 (3 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.02 (3 H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.89 (3 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz).

β-55. Oil, analytical TLC (silica gel F254), 20% ethyl acetate/hexane, $R_f = 0.54$; MS, exact mass calcd for $C_9H_{28}OS = 304.1861$; found = 304.186, error = 0.4 ppm; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) C=C, 1640, C-O, 1050; 270 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.36-7.22 (5 H, m), 5.62 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.8, 10.1, 9.2 Hz), 5.14 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.8, 0.9, 0.9 Hz), 5.06 (1 H, dd, J = 10.1, 1.4 Hz), 4.52 (2 H, s), 3.48 (1 H, dd, J = 6.9, 2.2 Hz), 3.15 (1 H, dd, J = 15.5, 2.6 Hz), 3.06 (1 H, dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz), 2.71 (1 H, dd, J = 15.5, 3.8 Hz), 2.49-2.39 (1 H, m), 2.20-2.08 (1 H, m), 1.88-1.70 (2 H, m), 1.32 (1 H, dd, J = 13.9, 6.2 Hz), 1.06 (3 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.98 (3 H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.91 (3 H, d, J = 6.5 Hz).

Ring Expansion of 55. Thiacycloundecenes 59 and 60. To a mixture of sulfides 55, R = benzyl (987 mg, 3.246 mmol), in dry CH₃CN (11 mL) at 0 °C was added the triflate from 1-hydroxy-2-butanone²⁵ (890 mg, 1.24 equiv). 2,6-Lutidine (0.5 mL, 1.3 equiv) was added 0.5 h later, and the temperature was maintained between 0 °C and 5 °C for 6 h. K_2 CO₃ (550 mg, 1.2 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture turned yellow over the next 0.5 h. After warming to room temperature for 3 h, the mixture was extracted with hexane (4 × 50 mL). The hexane soluble material was washed with 10% H_2 SO₄ (1 × 10 mL) and saturated NaHCO₃ (1 × 10 mL). After drying and solvent removal, the resulting yellow oil was passed through a silica gel plug (60–200 mesh, 10 g, 20% ether) and purified further by HPLC (Waters Prep-500) using as eluant a mixture of 7% ether, 3% CH₂Cl₂, and 90% hexane, flow rate = 6.0 mL/min, to obtain 60 (6.8 min, 782 mg, 64%), 59 (8.3 min, 50 mg, 4%),

and starting material (4.7 min, 93 mg, 9.4%) as clear oils. The combined yield of 60 and 59 (15.7:1) based upon recovered starting material was 76%. **60**: oil; silica gel, 1:4 ether/hexane, $R_f = 0.39$; MS, exact mass calcd for $C_{23}H_{34}O_2S_1 = 374.2271$; found 374.2281, error = 2.6 ppm; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) C=O, 1719; 200 MHz NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.38-7.20 (5 H, m), 5.79 (1 H, ddd, J = 15.4, 8.7, 5.3 Hz), 5.36 (1 H, dd, J = 15.4, 9.4 Hz), 4.52 (1 H, d, J = 11.7 Hz), 4.49 (1 H, d, J = 11.7 Hz), 3.31 (1 H, dd, J = 6.4, 3.9 Hz), 3.20 (1 H, dd, J = 8.0, 2.1 Hz), 2.85 (1 H, dq, J = 17.5, 7.3 Hz), 2.65–2.55 (1 H, m), 2.63 (1 H, dd, J = 13.2, 4.9 Hz), 2.50 (1 H, dq, J = 17.5, 7.3 Hz), 2.26 (1 H, ddd, J = 13.3, 8.7, 3.9 Hz), 2.12 (1 H, $d\tilde{d}$, J = 13.2, 4.2 Hz), 2.05-1.75 (3 H, m), 1.55 (1 H, ddd, J = 15.2, 8.0, 4.5 Hz), 1.20-0.95 (1 H, m), 1.08 (3 H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.02 (3 H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.00 (3 H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 0.98 (3 H, d, J)= 6.7 Hz). 59: oil; silica gel, 1:4 ether/hexane, $R_f = 0.28$; MS, exact mass calcd for $C_{23}H_{34}O_2S_1 = 374.2271$; found 374.228, error = 2.4 ppm; IR (neat, cm⁻¹): C=O, 1716; 200 MHz NMR (C_6D_6) δ 7.39-7.21 (5 H, m), 5.21 (1 H, ddd, J = 15.0, 9.0, 0.9 Hz), 4.91 (1 H, ddd, J = 15.0, 10.1, 4.9 Hz), 4.46 (1 H, d, J = 11.5 Hz), 4.32 (1 H, d, J = 11.5 Hz), 2.97 (1 H, dd, J = 10.9, 2.3 Hz), 2.84-1.85 (7 H, m), 1.90-1.10 (2 H, m)m), 1.06 (3 H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.05 (3 H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.04 (3 H, d, d, d)J = 7.1 Hz), 1.03 (3 H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 0.92 (3 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz).

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental details for the acyclic route to 11b, dienes 32 and 33, and ether 34 and spectral data (R₆, IR, MS, and ¹H NMR) for 13, 15, 16, 27, 28, 30, 11b, 32, 33, and 34 (6 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Total Synthesis of d,l-Methynolide. Sulfur Removal and Remote Stereocontrol

E. Vedejs,* R. A. Buchanan, and Y. Watanabe

Contribution from the Chemistry Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison Wisconsin 53706. Received January 23, 1989

Abstract: The details for the conversion of 1 into d,l-methynolide are described. Key steps include the highly selective reduction to alcohol 3, the oxidation α to sulfide sulfur from 3 to thiolactone 13, and the acyl transfer from 14 to the lactone 16. Photochemical oxidation converts phenacyl sulfide 17 into the ketone 21 via an intermediate thione and the derived 2 + 3 adduct 20. Finally, selective Grignard addition to enone alcohol 24 introduces the last asymmetric center. Redox adjustments and deprotection completes the total synthesis. A similar route to C₁₀-epi-methynolide 37 is also reported. The synthetic sequence depends on relative stereocontrol. This is achieved by using the predictable conformational properties of medium-sized ring intermediates and by taking advantage of the stereoelectronic effect of sulfur α to ketone carbonyl.

We now describe the final stages in the sulfur-mediated total synthesis of d,l-methynolide. Two potential precursors of methynolide, the thiacycloundecenes 1 and 2 (Scheme I), are available by a sequence of sulfur ylide ring expansions. Selectivity as high as 40:1 for the isomer-assigned structure 1 can be achieved by starting with purified precursors, but substantial amounts of 2 can also be obtained by base-induced equilibration or by performing the isolation procedure without suitable precautions. In principle, either 1 or 2 can be reduced to give the correct C₁₁ hydroxyl stereochemistry of methynolide, depending on whether the reducing agent is chosen to maximize Felkin-Nguyen (Anh) or chelation control.²⁻⁴ This key feature of the sulfur-based strategy for remote stereocontrol requires only that one of the two isomers can be obtained with high selectivity, as demonstrated in the preceding paper.

METHYNOLIDE

Initially, the stereochemical assignment at C_{10} (α to sulfur) was not known with certainty because neither ketone was crystalline. Accordingly, both 1 and 2 were treated with LiEt₃BH to promote Felkin-Nguyen selectivity, and each gave a unique preponderant alcohol. The major byproduct in each case (ca. 5%) proved to be the alcohol derived from reduction of the epimerized starting material, suggesting that minor interconversion of the ketones 1 and 2 by enolization was competitive with reduction. In any event, the alcohol obtained from the minor ring expansion ketone 2 could be crystallized, and the structure 3* was established by X-ray crystallography. This evidence proved that previous stereochemical assignments had been made correctly and that Felkin-Nguyen facial selectivity had in fact been followed in the reduction of 2. Assuming that 1 likewise had been reduced under Felkin-Nguyen control by LiEt₃BH, the (noncrystalline) alcohol product must be 3 (94% yield). If so, then this isomer has the methynolide stereochemistry at all five relevant asymmetric centers. Alcohol 3 is of course also the most accessible isomer since it corresponds to the kinetic product (1) from ring expansion.

To confirm the above assignment of stereochemistry, both 3 and 3* were carried through several of the subsequent steps, up to the point of final sulfur removal. We will use the asterisk (*) designation to identify the unnatural stereochemistry at C_{1t} in a series of intermediates derived from 2 (these isomers also differ at C_{10} compared to precursors of methynolide). Thus, 3 was protected as the silyl ether 4 (99%) and oxidized with MCPBA to give sulfoxide 5 (99%), and 3* was similarly taken on to 5*. Earlier model studies had established a technique for conversion of sulfoxides into the thiolactones required for acyl transfer,5 and the sequence of sulfoxide anion phosphenylation to 7 followed by

⁽¹⁾ Vedejs, E.; Buchanan, R. A.; Conrad, P. C.; Meier, G. P.; Mullins, M. J.; Schaffhausen, J. C.; Schwartz, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, preceding paper in this issue.

⁽²⁾ For evidence of chelation by α -sulfur, see: (a) Shimagaki, M.; Maeda, T.; Matsuzaki, Y.; Hori, J.; Nakata, T.; Oishi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 4775. (b) Shimagaki, M.; Matsuzaki, Y.; Hori, I.; Nakata, T.; Oishi, T. Ibid. 1984, 25, 4779.
(3) Vedejs, E.; Stults, J. S.; Wilde, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4822. Vedejs, E.; Rodgers, J. D.; Wittenberger, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4822.

⁽⁴⁾ Carreno, M. C.; Dominquez, E.; Garcia-Ruano, J. L.; Rubio, A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3619.

^{(5) (}a) Vedejs, E.; Meier, G. P.; Powell, D. W.; Mastalerz, H. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5253.
(b) Vedejs, E.; Powell, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2046.
(c) Vedejs, E.; Buchanan, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1840.